

# Freeform Search

---

<b>Database:</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> US Pre-Grant Publication Full-Text Database <input type="checkbox"/> US Patents Full-Text Database <input type="checkbox"/> US OCR Full-Text Database <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EPO Abstracts Database <input type="checkbox"/> JPO Abstracts Database <input type="checkbox"/> Derwent World Patents Index <input type="checkbox"/> IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletins
<b>Term:</b>	<input type="text"/>
<b>Display:</b>	<input type="text" value="10"/> Documents in <u>Display Format:</u> <input type="text"/> Starting with Number <input type="text" value="1"/>
<b>Generate:</b>	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Hit List <input type="radio"/> Hit Count <input type="radio"/> Side by Side <input type="radio"/> Image

---

## Search History

---

**DATE:** Friday, September 30, 2005    [Printable Copy](#)    [Create Case](#)

<u>Set</u>	<u>Hit</u>	<u>Set</u>
<u>Name</u>	<u>Count</u>	<u>Name</u>
side by side		result set
DB=PGPB,USPT,USOC,EPAB,JPAB,DWPI,TDBD; PLUR=YES; OP=OR		
L40 (4270042   5420405   4823264   4713761   4677552   5375055   5424938   5262939   5168444   4847762   5136501   5231571   5117354   5463547   4491725   5101353   5227967   4903201   5077665   5359509   4799156   5003473   5305200   4947028   5230048   4737910   3705384   5383113   5245535   5272623   4987538   5270922)![PN]	65 L40	
L39 ('5809483' '5717989')[PN]	4 L39	
DB=USPT; PLUR=YES; OP=OR		
L38 5347477.pn.	1 L38	
L37 5369570.pn.	1 L37	
L36 5561446.pn.	1 L36	
L35 5790677.pn.	1 L35	
L34 5794207.pn.	1 L34	
L33 5802497.pn.	1 L33	
L32 4947028.pn.	1 L32	
L31 5694551.pn.	1 L31	
DB=PGPB,USPT,USOC,EPAB,JPAB,DWPI,TDBD; PLUR=YES; OP=OR		
L30 l28 and 705/37	167 L30	
L29 l27 and 705/37	429 L29	
L28 L27 and best near (price or offer or quote)	403 L28	

<u>L27</u>	L26 and display	3077	<u>L27</u>
<u>L26</u>	L25 and (composite or two or more or smaller) same (quotes or offers)	4274	<u>L26</u>
<u>L25</u>	L24 and quantity and price	18905	<u>L25</u>
<u>L24</u>	l23 and (quote or price or offer)	164260	<u>L24</u>
<u>L23</u>	(trad\$ or bidd\$ or buy\$ and sell\$)	843073	<u>L23</u>
<i>DB=USPT; PLUR=YES; OP=OR</i>			
<u>L22</u>	5297031.pn.	1	<u>L22</u>
<u>L21</u>	(4903201   4760527   4942616   4677552   5101353   5038284   4980826)![PN]	7	<u>L21</u>
<u>L20</u>	('5297031')[PN]	1	<u>L20</u>
<u>L19</u>	5297031.pn.	1	<u>L19</u>
<u>L18</u>	5297031.pn.	1	<u>L18</u>
<u>L17</u>	5297031.pn.	1	<u>L17</u>
<i>DB=PGPB,USPT,USOC,EPAB,JPAB,DWPI,TDBD; PLUR=YES; OP=OR</i>			
<u>L16</u>	L15 and (bilateral bi-lateral) same trad\$	23	<u>L16</u>
<u>L15</u>	electronic near brokerage	100	<u>L15</u>
<u>L14</u>	('5168446')[URPN]	76	<u>L14</u>
<i>DB=USPT; PLUR=YES; OP=OR</i>			
<u>L13</u>	4750135.pn.	1	<u>L13</u>
<i>DB=PGPB,USPT,USOC,EPAB,JPAB,DWPI,TDBD; PLUR=YES; OP=OR</i>			
<u>L12</u>	L11 and quantity and price	all	72 <u>L12</u>
<u>L11</u>	L9 and (composite or two or more) near2 quotes	110	<u>L11</u>
<u>L10</u>	L9 and (omposit or two or more) near2 quotes	110	<u>L10</u>
<u>L9</u>	trad\$ near system	11186	<u>L9</u>
<u>L8</u>	('20020161687')[PN]	all	2 <u>L8</u>
<u>L7</u>	L6 and 705/37	all	7 <u>L7</u>
<u>L6</u>	composite with quote	all	39 <u>L6</u>
<u>L5</u>	display\$ near composite with quote	0	<u>L5</u>
<u>L4</u>	705.clas.	37001	<u>L4</u>
<u>L3</u>	705/36	1287	<u>L3</u>
<u>L2</u>	705/37	2209	<u>L2</u>
<u>L1</u>	705/35	2165	<u>L1</u>

END OF SEARCH HISTORY

# Freeform Search

---

<b>Database:</b>	US Pre-Grant Publication Full-Text Database US Patents Full-Text Database US OCR Full-Text Database EPO Abstracts Database JPO Abstracts Database Derwent World Patents Index IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletins			
<b>Term:</b>				
<b>Display:</b>	10	Documents in <u>Display Format:</u>	Starting with Number	1
<b>Generate:</b>	<input type="radio"/> Hit List <input checked="" type="radio"/> Hit Count <input type="radio"/> Side by Side <input type="radio"/> Image			
<input style="margin-right: 10px;" type="button" value="Search"/> <input type="button" value="Clear"/> <input type="button" value="Interrupt"/>				

---

## Search History

---

**DATE:** Friday, September 30, 2005    [Printable Copy](#)    [Create Case](#)

**Set Name** **Query**

side by side

*DB=USPT; PLUR=YES; OP=OR*

L19 5003473.pn.

1 L19

L18 5940810.pn.

1 L18

L17 5594639.pn.

1 L17

L16 6014643.pn.

1 L16

*DB=PGPB,USPT,USOC,EPAB,JPAB,DWPI,TDBD; PLUR=YES; OP=OR*

L15 l3 and l8

20 L15

L14 (4903201 | 3573747 | 4766293 | 3697693 | 4774663 | 4677552)! [PN]

12 L14

L13 ('5136501)[PN]

2 L13

*DB=USPT; PLUR=YES; OP=OR*

L12 3697693.pn.

1 L12

L11 3697693.pn.

1 L11

L10 3697693.pn.

1 L10

L9 4766293.pn.

1 L9

*DB=PGPB,USPT,USOC,EPAB,JPAB,DWPI,TDBD; PLUR=YES; OP=OR*

L8 705.clas.

37001 L8

L7 705/39

1683 L7

L6 705/38

901 L6

L5 705/37

2209 L5

L4 705/35

2165 L4

Freeform Search

- L3 L2 and (securities or stocks or bonds or instruments)  
L2 L1 and (credit with limit or credit near limit)  
L1 (anonymous or unknown or ghost or alais) near match\$ with system

Page 2 of 2

23      L3  
23      L2  
101     L1

*all*

END OF SEARCH HISTORY

**01386863/9**

DIALOG(R) File 160:Gale Group PROMT(R)  
(c) 1999 The Gale Group. All rts. reserv.

01386863

**Security Pacific Nears Starting Network For Trading Options on US Securities.**

WALL STREET JOURNAL 3 STAR, EASTERN (PRINCETON, NJ) EDITION August 11, 1986 p. 81

Security Pacific National Bank will offer an off-exchange network for trading options on Treasury securities. Trading could start by mid-9/86, pending regulatory approval. The bank's network will consist of electronically linked video screens that will display price and volume quotations. Fees would be generated based on transaction volume. The network will allow traders in over-the-counter Treasury options to seek the best bid offer, much like exchanges. Currently in OTC Treasury options trading, traders contact securities dealers directly, without necessarily knowing other prices that may be available. Security Pacific's attempt to bring centralized trading to the \$2 bil/d OTC Treasury options market, which amounted to \$300-400 mil/d only a year ago, could face a rough reception even if approved by regulators. Exchanges that already trade Treasury options or options on futures are pushing for greater regulation of Security Pacific's quasi-exchange. At the same time, some major government securities dealers, who are the bank's biggest target audience, question the need for Security Pacific's services.

COMPANY:

\*Security Pacific Natl Bank

PRODUCT: \*Securities Dealers (6211000)

EVENT: \*Services Data (36)

COUNTRY: \*United States (1USA)

0029049/9

DIALOG(R) File 624:McGraw-Hill Publications  
(c) 2005 McGraw-Hill Co. Inc. All rts. reserv.

0029049

**EARLY RESULTS FROM INDIANA ELECTRICITY BROKERING EXPERIMENT SHOW PROMISE**

Electric Utility Week, Pg 3

February 9, 1987

JOURNAL CODE: EUW

ISSN: 0046-1695

WORD COUNT: 634

TEXT:

Preliminary results are positive for an intrastate energy brokering experiment conducted last year by Indiana utilities, and the Public Service Commission has recommended that many more economy energy transactions in 1987 be brought under the ongoing test program to determine if there is a net overall benefit to Indiana ratepayers. The energy brokering test program (EBTP) was established in response to a request from the state legislature in 1985 to the PSC to study the feasibility of a statewide power pooling system. As a type of loose power pooling arrangement, it focuses exclusively on economy energy transactions within the state.

The transactions are characterized by "split-the-savings" pricing, where the price for the purchased power is set halfway between the seller's and the buyer's marginal costs, and by prices that do not include demand charges. The brokering concept is structured so that each hour dispatchers at the participating utilities send into a centralized computer buy and sell quotations for specified quantities of economy energy. The brokering program then matches possible transaction combinations: highest purchase and lowest sale prices, second highest and next lowest prices, and so on until all quotes are matched or a minimum spread level is reached.

The computerized combinations are then displayed to all EBTP participants for possible exploitation. If a deal is not in fact struck by the identified parties, the reasons have to be recorded. Although economy energy exchanges have taken place for years, the number and magnitude of these transactions, as well as the potential for other transactions, has not been specifically investigated. The EBTP is therefore a way to measure energy savings that accrue through the voluntary interaction of the state's utilities.

According to a PSC report issued in late January, "Proposals to Determine the Feasibility of Implementing a Statewide System of Electrical Power Pooling with Economic Dispatch," results of last year's analysis for power transfers show savings of \$177,000 for the five utilities involved. More than 20,108 MWh were exchanged in 249 transactions.

However, because of malfunctioning equipment early in the year, and procedures and computer programs that are not fully refined, program participants believe the recorded energy exchange transactions were only about 10-20% of the total economic exchanges actually occurring among the

utilities in 1986. The PSC report notes that the EBTP has been steadily increasing its performance level since early 1986, and will gradually capture more of the economy energy transactions occurring in the state.

Although the EBTP seems to offer cost savings and greater reliability to system participants, it is still to be determined if net benefits outweigh total costs. The PSC report points out that as the ratio of actual to potential efficiency gains increases, total capital costs also increase. If these fixed costs rise in proportion to efficiency gains, then consumer benefits will be negligible. Looming as possible diseconomies are losses that may occur precisely because the power pooling arrangement is intrastate rather than interstate. Analyses may later reveal that it would be more economical for Indiana utilities to exchange economy power with out-of-state utilities.

Sulfur-dioxide emission levels may also render institutionalized energy brokering advantages inadequate. In Indiana, most electricity is by coal-fired generation. The marginal cost of producing electricity for generating units that do not have flue-gas desulfurization equipment is generally lower than energy from units having such equipment. Thus, units emitting SO<sub>2</sub> are, under the principles of economic energy transfer, called upon first to displace power from units with scrubbers. According to the PSC report, "this creates a dilemma of increasing SO<sub>2</sub> emissions in one area to achieve increased savings in the production of electricity on a statewide basis."

The five utilities involved in the program are Hoosier Energy Rural Electric Cooperative, Indianapolis Power & Light, Northern Indiana Public Service, Public Service of Indiana, and Southern Indiana Gas & Electric.

Copyright 1987 McGraw-Hill, Inc.